Intrinsic Charm in the Proton

Juan Rojo, VU Amsterdam & Nikhef

Nikhef Colloquium

22nd April 2022, Amsterdam Science Park

Why Nucleon Structure?

Why Nucleon Structure?

One may claim that the **nucleon is a rather ``boring" particle**, surely after **one century of studying it**, we know everything about the proton?



Rutherford experiment (1911)

Why Nucleon Structure?

nothing farther from reality: the proton is a beautiful example of the richness of quantum mechanics: what a **proton is** depends on the **resolution with which we examine it**!



long distances / low energies — *short distances / high energies*

a point particle

$E \ll 1\ \text{GeV}$

Why Nucleon Structure?

nothing farther from reality: the proton is a beautiful example of the richness of quantum mechanics: what a **proton is** depends on the **resolution with which we examine it**!





long distances / low energies → *short distances / high energies*

a point particle

$E \ll 1\ \mathrm{GeV}$

3 valence quarks

$E \sim \mathrm{few}\ \mathrm{GeV}$

Why Nucleon Structure?

nothing farther from reality: the proton is a beautiful example of the richness of quantum mechanics: what a **proton is** depends on the **resolution with which we examine it**!







long distances / low energies ***short distances / high energies***

a point particle $E \ll 1\ \mathrm{GeV}$

3 valence quarks $E \sim \text{few GeV}$

sea quarks, gluons $E \sim 50\ \mathrm{GeV}$

Why Nucleon Structure?

nothing farther from reality: the proton is a beautiful example of the richness of quantum mechanics: what a **proton is** depends on the **resolution with which we examine it**!









long distances / low energies → *short distances / high energies*

a point particle	3 valence quarks	sea quarks, gluons	heavy quarks, photons, leptons, Higgs bosons
$E \ll 1\ \mathrm{GeV}$	$E \sim \mathrm{few\ GeV}$	$E \sim 50\ \mathrm{GeV}$	$E \sim 1\ \mathrm{TeV}$

A gateway to unravelling QCD

THE SCIENCES

Proton Spin Mystery Gains a New Clue



Gluons contribute to proton spin

The proton keeps surprising us as an endless source of **fundamental discoveries!**

A gateway to unravelling QCD

THE SCIENCES

Proton Spin Mystery Gains a New Clue



Scientific American (2014)

Gluons contribute to proton spin

The proton keeps surprising us as an endless source of **fundamental discoveries!**

After 40 years of studying the strong nuclear force, a revelation

This was the year that analysis of data finally backed up a prediction, made in the mid 1970s, of a surprising emergent behaviour in the strong nuclear force

gluon-dominated state of matter

The Guardian (2017)

A gateway to unravelling QCD

THE SCIENCES

Proton Spin Mystery Gains a New Clue



Gluons contribute to proton spin

The proton keeps surprising us as an endless source of **fundamental discoveries!**

QUANTUM PHYSICS

Decades-Long Quest Reveals Details of the Proton's Inner Antimatter

27

Twenty years ago, physicists set out to investigate a mysterious asymmetry in the proton's interior. Their results, published today, show how antimatter helps stabilize every atom's core.

Antimatter asymmetry in the proton

After 40 years of studying the strong nuclear force, a revelation

This was the year that analysis of data finally backed up a prediction, made in the mid 1970s, of a surprising emergent behaviour in the strong nuclear force

gluon-dominated state of matter

A gateway to unravelling QCD

THE SCIENCES

Proton Spin Mystery Gains a New Clue



Gluons contribute to proton spin

Intrinsic Charm

The proton keeps surprising us as an endless source of **fundamental discoveries!**

QUANTUM PHYSICS

Decades-Long Quest Reveals Details of the Proton's Inner Antimatter

27

Twenty years ago, physicists set out to investigate a mysterious asymmetry in the proton's interior. Their results, published today, show how antimatter helps stabilize every atom's core.

Antimatter asymmetry in the proton

After 40 years of studying the strong nuclear force, a revelation

This was the year that analysis of data finally backed up a prediction, made in the mid 1970s, of a surprising emergent behaviour in the strong nuclear force

gluon-dominated state of matter

Why Nucleon Structure?



Address fundamental questions about Quantum Chromodynamics

- origin of mass & spin
- **heavy quark** & **antimatter content**
- 3D imaging
- gluon-dominated matter
- **nuclear modifications**
- Interplay with BSM e.g. via **SMEFT PDFs**

Why Nucleon Structure?



Key component of predictions for particle, nuclear, and astro-particle experiments

- **pp: ATLAS, CMS, LHCb, ALICE**
- ep: fixed target DIS, HERA
- neutrinos: IceCube, KM3NET, **Forward Physics Facility** @ LHC
- heavy ions: LHC Pb, LHC O, RHIC
- *pp (future): HL-LHC, FCC, SppS*
- ep (future): **Electron-Ion Collider,** LHeC, FCC-eh

Address fundamental questions about Quantum Chromodynamics

- origin of mass & spin
- **heavy quark** & **antimatter content**
- 3D imaging
- gluon-dominated matter
- **nuclear modifications**
- Interplay with BSM e.g. via **SMEFT PDFs**

Parton Distributions

Parton Distributions

Proton energy divided among constituents: **quarks** and **gluons**



Parton Distribution Functions (PDFs)

↓

Determine from **data:**

Global QCD analysis

Also important recent results from lattice QCD!

What do we need to extract PDFs from data?

Parton Distributions

$$N_{\mathrm{LHC}}(H) \sim g \otimes g \otimes \widetilde{\sigma}_{ggH}$$

Parton Distributions



All-order structure: **QCD factorisation theorems**

Parton Distributions

$$g(x, Q)$$

Energy of hard-scattering reaction**:** inverse of resolution length

Probability of **finding a gluon inside a proton**, carrying a fraction ***x*** of the proton momentum, when probed with energy ***Q***

x: fraction of proton momentum carried by gluon

Dependence on *x* fixed by **non-perturbative QCD dynamics**: extract from experimental data

$$g(x, Q_0, \{a_g\}) = f_g(x, a_g^{(1)}, a_g^{(2)}, \ldots)$$

constrain from data

- **Quark number conservation**

$$\int_0^1 dx \, \left(u(x, Q^2) - \bar{u}(x, Q^2)\right) = 2$$

- **Energy conservation**: momentum sum rule

$$\int_0^1 dx \, x \left(\sum_{i=1}^{n_f} \left[q_i((x, Q^2) + \bar{q}_i(x, Q^2)\right] + g(x, Q^2) \right) = 1$$

Parton Distributions

$$g(x, Q)$$

Probability of **finding a gluon inside a proton**, carrying a fraction ***x*** of the proton momentum, when probed with energy ***Q***

***x*:** fraction of proton momentum carried by gluon

Energy of hard-scattering reaction**:** inverse of resolution length

Dependence on ***Q*** fixed by **perturbative QCD dynamics**: computed up to $\mathcal{O}\left(\alpha_s^4\right)$

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial \ln Q^2} q_i(x, Q^2) = \int_x^1 \frac{dz}{z} P_{ij}\left(\frac{x}{z}, \alpha_s(Q^2)\right) q_j(z, Q^2)$$

***DGLAP** parton evolution equations*

A proton structure snapshop



The global QCD analysis paradigm

QCD factorisation theorems**: PDF universality**

$$\sigma_{l\,p\to\mu\,X} = \widetilde{\sigma}_{u\gamma\to u} \otimes u(x) \quad \rightarrow \quad \sigma_{p\,p\to W} = \widetilde{\sigma}_{u\bar{d}\to W} \otimes u(x) \otimes \bar{d}(x)$$



*Determine PDFs from **deep-inelastic scattering**…*

*… and use them to compute predictions for **proton-proton collisions***

The global QCD analysis paradigm

Master Formula for **LHC cross-sections:**

$$\sigma_{\rm LHC}(M,s) \propto \sum_{ij} \int_{M^2}^{s} d\hat{s}\; \mathcal{L}_{ij}(\hat{s},s)\, \widetilde{\sigma}_{ij}(\hat{s},\alpha_s(M))\,, \quad i,j = u,d,s,g,\ldots$$

partonic luminosity (non-perturbative QCD, phenomenological extraction from data)

hard-scattering matrix element (perturbative QCD, evaluate from Feynman diagrams)

$$\mathcal{L}_{ij}(Q,s) = \frac{1}{s}\int_{Q^2/s}^{1} \frac{dx}{x} f_i\left(\frac{Q^2}{sx},Q\right) f_j\left(x,Q\right),$$

proton Parton Distribution Functions (PDFs)

$f_j\left(x,Q\right)$

flavour index — *momentum fraction* — *energy scale of partonic scattering*

The global QCD analysis paradigm

$$\sigma_{W^+}(M,s) \propto \int_{M^2}^{s} d\hat{s}\; \mathscr{L}_{u\bar{d}}(\hat{s},s)\, \widetilde{\sigma}_{u\bar{d}}(\hat{s},\alpha_s(M)) + \ldots$$

$$\mathscr{L}_{u\bar{d}}(Q,s) = \frac{1}{s}\int_{Q^2/s}^{1} \frac{dx}{x} f_u\left(\frac{Q^2}{sx},Q\right) f_{\bar{d}}(x,Q)$$



Using leading-order kinematics:

$$x_1 = \frac{M_W}{\sqrt{s}} e^{+y_W}, \quad x_2 = \frac{M_W}{\sqrt{s}} e^{-y_W}$$

forward rapidities probe **small and large *x*** (momentum fractions)

The global QCD analysis paradigm

- **Parametrise the PDFs** at the boundary (***Q = 1 GeV***) between perturbative and non-perturbative QCD

$$xg(x, Q_0 = 1\ \text{GeV}, \{a\}) = f_g(x, a_g^{(1)}, a_g^{(2)}, \ldots)$$

- Evaluate predictions for LHC cross-sections using **QCD factorisation theorem**

$$\sigma_{\text{th}}(M, s, \{a\}) \propto \sum_{ij} \int_{M^2}^{s} d\hat{s}\ \mathcal{L}_{ij}(\hat{s}, s, \{a_i^{(k)}\}, \{a_j^{(k)}\})\, \widetilde{\sigma}_{ij}(\hat{s}, \alpha_s(M))$$

- **Extract PDF parameters from data** via log-likelihood maximisation

$$\chi^2\left(\{\boldsymbol{a}^{(k)}\}\right) = \frac{1}{n_{\text{dat}}} \sum_{i,j=1}^{n_{\text{dat}}} \left(\sigma_{\text{i,th}}(\{\boldsymbol{a}^{(k)}\}) - \sigma_{\text{i,exp}}\right) \left(\text{cov}^{-1}\right)_{ij} \left(\sigma_{\text{j,th}}(\{\boldsymbol{a}^{(k)}\}) - \sigma_{\text{j,exp}}\right)$$

- Estimate the associated **uncertainties**

The resulting PDFs are then ready for phenomenological applications in processed involving **proton/nuclear targets and projectiles**

The NNPDF4.0 Global PDF Determination

NNPDF Collaboration, arXiv:2109.02653

The NNPDF4.0 dataset



$\mathcal{O}(50)$ data sets investigated; $\mathcal{O}(400)$ data points more in NNPDF4.0 than in NNPDF3.1

Fitting methodology

- ☑ Model-independent PDF parametrisation with neural networks as **universal unbiased interpolants**
- ☑ **Stochastic Gradient Descent** via TensorFlow for neural network training
- ☑ Automated model **hyperparameter optimisation:** NN architecture, minimiser, learning rates …
- ☑ Validation with **future tests** (forecasting new datasets) and **closure tests** (data based on known PDFs)

$$g(x, Q_0, \{a_g\}) = f_g(x, a_g^{(1)}, a_g^{(2)}, \ldots)$$



PDFs should be independent of **parametrisation basis!**

Fitting methodology



Error estimate based on **Monte Carlo replica method** (band: standard deviation over the MC replicas)

Parametrisation basis independence

$$V(x, Q_0) = \left((u - \bar{u}) + (d - \bar{d}) + (s - \bar{s})\right)(x, Q_0)$$



Radically different strategies to parametrize the **quark PDF flavour combinations** lead to identical results: ultimate test of **parametrisation independence**

evolution basis PDF parametrisation:

$$xV(x, Q_0) \propto \mathrm{NN}_V(x)$$

flavour basis PDF parametrisation:

$$xV(x, Q_0) \propto \left(\mathrm{NN}_u(x) - \mathrm{NN}_{\bar{u}}(x) + \mathrm{NN}_d(x) - \mathrm{NN}_{\bar{d}}(x) + \mathrm{NN}_s(x) - \mathrm{NN}_{\bar{s}}(x)\right)$$

A ML open-source QCD fitting framework



The full **NNPDF machine learning fitting framework** has been publicly released open source, together with extensive documentation and user-friendly examples

Evidence for intrinsic charm in the proton

R. D. Ball , A. Candido , J. Cruz-Martinez , S. Forte , T. Giani , F. Hekhorn , K. Kudashkin , G. Magni, ***J. Rojo****,* ***``Charm in the Proton"****, under journal review*

The charm content of the proton

common assumption in PDF fits: the static proton wave function does not contain charm quarks:
the proton contains **intrinsic up, down, strange (anti-)quarks** but **no intrinsic charm quarks**





charm quarks heavier than the proton itself!

The charm content of the proton

common assumption in PDF fits: the static proton wave function does not contain charm quarks: the proton contains **intrinsic up, down, strange (anti-)quarks** but **no intrinsic charm quarks**

the charm PDF is **generated perturbatively** (DGLAP evolution) from radiation off gluons and quarks

$$f_c^{(n_f)} = 0 \quad \rightarrow \quad f_c^{(n_f+1)} \propto \alpha_s \ln \frac{Q^2}{m_c^2} \left(P_{qg} \otimes f_g^{(n_f+1)} \right) + \mathcal{O}\left(\alpha_s^2\right)$$

3FNS charm — *4FNS charm* — *4FNS gluon*

4 flavour scheme, $Q > m_c$

$u^{(4)}, d^{(4)}, s^{(4)}, c^{(4)} g^{(4)}$

↕ ↕

3 flavour scheme, $Q < m_c$

$u^{(3)}, d^{(4)}, s^{(3)}, g^{(3)}$



If charm is **perturbatively generated**, the charm PDF is ``**trivial**”

The charm content of the proton

common assumption in PDF fits: the static proton wave function does not contain charm quarks: the proton contains **intrinsic up, down, strange (anti-)quarks** but **no intrinsic charm quarks**

It does not need to be so! An **intrinsic charm component** predicted in many models

THE INTRINSIC CHARM OF THE PROTON

S.J. BRODSKY [1]
Stanford Linear Accelerator Center, Stanford, California 94305, USA

and

P. HOYER, C. PETERSON and N. SAKAI [2]
NORDITA, Copenhagen, Denmark

Received 22 April 1980



$$|p\rangle = \mathscr{P}_{3q}\,|uud\rangle + \mathscr{P}_{5q}\,|uudc\bar{c}\rangle + \ldots$$

Recent data give unexpectedly large cross-sections for charmed particle production at high x_F in hadron collisions. This may imply that the proton has a non-negligible uudc$\bar{c}$ Fock component. The interesting consequences of such a hypothesis are explored.

40 years of extensive searches for intrinsic charm: no unambiguous evidence ….

The charm content of the proton

common assumption in PDF fits: the static proton wave function does not contain charm quarks: the proton contains **intrinsic up, down, strange (anti-)quarks** but **no intrinsic charm quarks**

It does not need to be so! An **intrinsic charm component** predicted in many models

in this scenario, the charm PDF extracted from data in the global fit is the combination of the **perturbative** (DGLAP) and the **intrinsic** components

$$c^{(n_f=4)}(x,Q) \simeq c^{(n_f=4)}_{(\mathrm{pert})}(x,Q) + c^{(n_f=4)}_{(\mathrm{intr})}(x,Q)$$

Extracted phenomenologically from data

from QCD evolution and matching

from intrinsic component $c^{(n_f=3)}_{(\mathrm{intr})}(x) \neq 0$

How to **disentangle perturbative** from **intrinsic components?**

Disentangling intrinsic charm

$$c^{(n_f=4)}(x,Q) \simeq c^{(n_f=4)}_{(\mathrm{pert})}(x,Q) + c^{(n_f=4)}_{(\mathrm{intr})}(x,Q)$$

Extracted phenomenologically from data

from pQCD evolution and matching

from intrinsic component $c^{(n_f=3)}_{(\mathrm{intr})}(x) \neq 0$

4FNS Charm PDF constrained by experimental data for $Q > Q_0$

- NNPDF4.0 dataset
- NNLO QCD calculations

QCD evolution

starting point: NNPDF 4.0 methodology

$Q_0 =$ 1.65 GeV

4FNS Charm PDF parametrised at Q_0

- Deep-learning parametrisation
- Monte Carlo representation of uncertainties

Disentangling intrinsic charm

$$c^{(n_f=4)}(x,Q) \simeq c^{(n_f=4)}_{\text{(pert)}}(x,Q) + c^{(n_f=4)}_{\text{(intr)}}(x,Q)$$

Extracted phenomenologically from data

from pQCD evolution and matching

from intrinsic component $c^{(n_f=3)}_{\text{(intr)}}(x) \neq 0$

4FNS Charm PDF constrained by experimental data for $Q > Q_0$

- NNPDF4.0 dataset
- NNLO QCD calculations

QCD evolution

starting point: NNPDF 4.0 methodology

$Q_0 =$ 1.65 GeV

4FNS Charm PDF parametrised at Q_0

- Deep-learning parametrisation
- Monte Carlo representation of uncertainties

QCD evolution

subtract perturbative component

4FNS to 3FNS transformation

NNLO or N^{3}LO matching conditions

$$c^{(n_f=3)}(x,Q) = c_{\text{(intr)}}(x)$$

EKO

Evolution Kernel Operators

Intrinsic (3FNS) Charm

- Scale-independent
- PDF and MHO uncertainties

4FNS to 3FNS transformation

$$\mathbf{f}^{(n_f+1)}(Q_1^2) = \left[\mathbf{E}^{(n_f+1)}(Q_1^2 \leftarrow Q_h^2)\mathbf{A}^{(n_f)}(Q_h^2)\mathbf{E}^{(n_f)}(Q_h^2 \leftarrow Q_0^2)\right] \otimes \mathbf{f}^{(n_f)}(Q_0^2)$$

4FNS PDFs | **DGLAP kernel** | **scheme matching conditions** | **DGLAP kernel** | **3FNS PDFs**

$\mathcal{O}(\alpha_s^3)$ | $\mathcal{O}(\alpha_s^2)$ & $\mathcal{O}(\alpha_s^3)$ | $\mathcal{O}(\alpha_s^3)$

NNLO | **NNLO** | **N3LO** | **NNLO**



Intrinsic charm!



The 3FNS charm PDF displays **non-zero component** peaked at large-*x* **(3σ local significance)** identified with **intrinsic charm**

in excellent agreement with model predictions, specially from the Meson/Baryon Cloud model

The charm momentum fraction

Scheme	Q	Charm PDF	m_c	$[c]$ (%)
3FNS	–	default	1.51 GeV	$0.62 \pm 0.28_{\rm pdf} \pm 0.54_{\rm mhou}$
3FNS	–	default	1.38 GeV	$0.47 \pm 0.27_{\rm pdf} \pm 0.62_{\rm mhou}$
3FNS	–	default	1.64 GeV	$0.77 \pm 0.28_{\rm pdf} \pm 0.48_{\rm mhou}$
4FNS	1.65 GeV	default	1.51 GeV	$0.87 \pm 0.23_{\rm pdf}$
4FNS	1.65 GeV	default	1.38 GeV	$0.94 \pm 0.22_{\rm pdf}$
4FNS	1.65 GeV	default	1.64 GeV	$0.84 \pm 0.24_{\rm pdf}$
4FNS	1.65 GeV	perturbative	1.51 GeV	$0.346 \pm 0.005_{\rm pdf} \pm 0.44_{\rm mhou}$
4FNS	1.65 GeV	perturbative	1.38 GeV	$0.536 \pm 0.006_{\rm pdf} \pm 0.49_{\rm mhou}$
4FNS	1.65 GeV	perturbative	1.64 GeV	$0.172 \pm 0.003_{\rm pdf} \pm 0.41_{\rm mhou}$



Intrinsic charm carries around **0.5%** of the proton's total momentum

Dataset dependence



Consistent results for **DIS-only** and collider-only fits: no single dataset dominates the charm PDF

Z+charm @ LHCb

Direct handle on the **charm content of the proton**





$$\mathcal{R}_j^c(y_Z) \equiv \frac{N(c\ \text{tagged jets}; y_Z)}{N(\text{jets}; y_Z)} = \frac{\sigma(pp \to Z + \text{charm jet}; y_Z)}{\sigma(pp \to Z + \text{jet}; y_Z)}$$

Z+charm at forward rapidities (LHCb) sensitive to the **charm PDF up to *x*=0.5**

Z+charm @ LHCb







- ☑ A perturbative charm PDF **disagrees** with the LHCb forward Z+charm data
- ☑ LHCb data favour intrinsic charm hypothesis, with IC carrying **0.5% of proton's momentum**

Z+charm @ LHCb





- A perturbative charm PDF **disagrees** with the LHCb forward Z+charm data
- LHCb data favour intrinsic charm hypothesis, with IC carrying **0.5% of proton's momentum**
- Striking consistency between **direct** (Z+c, F_2^c) **and indirect constraints** on the charm PDF



Summary

- For more than four decades, the question of **whether the proton contain charm quarks** has been passionately investigated, with no clear conclusions up to now

- The NNPDF4.0 global analysis reveals **evidence for intrinsic charm in the proton**, consistent with BHPS and meson/baryon could models with 0.5% momentum fraction

- The NNPDF4.0 predictions are in excellent agreement with the independent constraints provided by the **LHCb Z+charm data** in the forward region





Extra Material

Perturbative charm PDF