

Introduction to Elementary Particles (TN2811) Theory Lecture 3

Dr Juan Rojo

VU Amsterdam and Nikhef Theory group

<u>j.rojo@vu.nl</u> / <u>www.juanrojo.com</u>





Today's lecture

Fermions and bosons: spin-statistics

Charged leptons and the leptonic number

Meutrinos, radioactive processes, and oscillations





	Fermions: half-integer spin	Bosons: integer spin	
	$s = \frac{\hbar}{2}, \frac{3\hbar}{2}, \dots$	$s = 0, \hbar, 2\hbar, \dots$	
Elementary particles	Electron, muon, tauon, neutrinos, quarks $s = \frac{\hbar}{2}$		
Composite particles	Protons, neutrons $s = \frac{\hbar}{2}$ Some baryons e.g. Δ^{++} $s = \frac{3\hbar}{2}$		

	Fermions: half-integer spin	Bosons: integer spin $s = 0, \hbar, 2\hbar,$	
	$s = \frac{\hbar}{2}, \frac{3\hbar}{2}, \dots$		
		Higgs boson $s = 0$	
Elementary particles	neutrinos, quarks $s = \frac{\pi}{2}$	Photon, gluon, W, Z $s = \hbar$	
		Graviton (?) $s = 2\hbar$	
Composite particles	Protons, neutrons $s = \frac{\hbar}{2}$ Some baryons e.g. Δ^{++} $s = \frac{3\hbar}{2}$		

Fermions: half-integer spin		Bosons: integer spin $s = 0, \hbar, 2\hbar,$		
$s = \frac{\hbar}{2}, \frac{3\hbar}{2}, \dots$				
Electron, muon, tauon, $s = \frac{\hbar}{2}$ neutrinos, quarks	ħ	Higgs boson	s = 0	
	$\frac{n}{2}$	Photon, gluon, <i>W, Z</i>	$s = \hbar$	
		Graviton (?)	$s = 2\hbar$	
Protons, neutrons $S =$ Some baryons e.g. Δ^{++} $S =$	$=\frac{\hbar}{2}$ $=\frac{3\hbar}{2}$	Pions, kaons Some mesons e.g. ρ, ω	s = 0 $s = 1$	
	Fermions: half-integer spin $s = \frac{\hbar}{2}, \frac{3\hbar}{2}, \ldots$ Electron, muon, tauon, neutrinos, quarks $s =$ Protons, neutrons $s =$ Some baryons e.g. Δ^{++} $s =$	Fermions: half-integer spin $s = \frac{\hbar}{2}, \frac{3\hbar}{2}, \ldots$ Electron, muon, tauon, neutrinos, quarks $s = \frac{\hbar}{2}$ Protons, neutrons $s = \frac{\hbar}{2}$ Some baryons e.g. Δ^{++} $s = \frac{3\hbar}{2}$	Fermions: half-integer spinBosons: integer $s = \frac{\hbar}{2}, \frac{3\hbar}{2}, \dots$ $s = 0, \hbar, 2\hbar$ Electron, muon, tauon, neutrinos, quarks $s = \frac{\hbar}{2}$ Protons, neutrons $s = \frac{\hbar}{2}$ Protons, neutrons $s = \frac{\hbar}{2}$ Some baryons e.g. Δ^{++} $s = \frac{3\hbar}{2}$ Pions, kaons e.g. ρ, ω	

In terms of their **spin quantum number** *s*, particles can divided into two groups:



Juan Rojo

Introduction to Elementary Particles, 07/01/2019

Fermions and bosons do exhibit vastly different properties due to their different spins

Consider a quantum system composed by two identical particles with position x_1 and x_2

$$\psi_{\text{tot}}(x_1, x_2) = \psi_1(x_1) \psi_2(x_2)$$

Now we can **exchange the position** of the two particles, and end up with:

$$\widetilde{\psi}_{\text{tot}}(x_1, x_2) = \psi_1(x_2) \,\psi_2(x_1)$$

why?

How are these two total wave functions related among them?

Fermions and bosons do exhibit vastly different properties due to their different spins

Consider a quantum system composed by two identical particles with position x_1 and x_2

$$\psi_{\text{tot}}(x_1, x_2) = \psi_1(x_1) \psi_2(x_2)$$

Now we can **exchange the position** of the two particles, and end up with:

$$\widetilde{\psi}_{\text{tot}}(x_1, x_2) = \psi_1(x_2) \,\psi_2(x_1)$$

Since the particles are identical, any physical measurements carried out in the system should yield exactly **the same result**

In other words, the **probability** of finding the two particles at **x**₁ and **x**₂ should not change

$$|\psi_{\text{tot}}(x_1, x_2)|^2 = |\widetilde{\psi}_{\text{tot}}(x_1, x_2)|^2$$

What do we learn from this?

Juan Rojo

$$|\psi_{\text{tot}}(x_1, x_2)|^2 = |\widetilde{\psi}_{\text{tot}}(x_1, x_2)|^2$$
$$|\psi_1(x_1)\psi_2(x_2)|^2 = |\psi_1(x_2)\psi_2(x_1)|^2$$

So when we interchange the position of the two identical particles, the total wave function must be **unchanged up to a complex phase**

$$\psi_1(x_1) \psi_2(x_2) = e^{i\phi} \psi_1(x_2) \psi_2(x_1)$$

What happens if we exchange again the position of the particles?

$$|\psi_{\text{tot}}(x_1, x_2)|^2 = |\widetilde{\psi}_{\text{tot}}(x_1, x_2)|^2$$
$$\psi_1(x_1)\psi_2(x_2)|^2 = |\psi_1(x_2)\psi_2(x_1)|^2$$

So when we interchange the position of the two identical particles, the total wave function must be **unchanged up to a complex phase**

$$\psi_1(x_1) \psi_2(x_2) = e^{i\phi} \psi_1(x_2) \psi_2(x_1)$$

What happens if we exchange again the position of the particles?

$$\psi_1(x_1)\,\psi_2(x_2) = e^{i\phi}\,\psi_1(x_2)\,\psi_2(x_1) = e^{i\phi}\left(e^{i\phi}\,\psi_1(x_1)\,\psi_2(x_2)\right)$$

Which implies that the complex phase can only take two values

$$e^{i2\phi} = 1 \rightarrow \phi = 0, \pi \rightarrow e^{i\phi} = 1, -1$$

Therefore the basic principles of quantum mechanics tell us that there exist **two kinds of particles** depending on how they behave under exchanging them

Bosons: if we exchange two identical bosons, the wave function is unchanged

$$\psi_1(x_1) \psi_2(x_2) = \psi_1(x_2) \psi_2(x_1)$$

Fermions: if we exchange two identical fermions, the wave function changes sign

$$\psi_1(x_1) \psi_2(x_2) = -\psi_1(x_2) \psi_2(x_1)$$

Why this difference is so important?

What happens if two fermions occupy the same quantum state?

Therefore the basic principles of quantum mechanics tell us that there exist **two kinds of particles** depending on how they behave under exchanging them

Bosons: if we exchange two identical bosons, the wave function is unchanged

$$\psi_1(x_1) \psi_2(x_2) = \psi_1(x_2) \psi_2(x_1)$$

Fermions: if we exchange two identical fermions, the wave function changes sign

$$\psi_1(x_1) \psi_2(x_2) = -\psi_1(x_2) \psi_2(x_1)$$

Why this difference is so important?

What happens if two fermions occupy the same quantum state?

$$\psi_1(x_1)\psi_2(x_1) = -\psi_1(x_1)\psi_2(x_1) = 0$$

Two fermions cannot occupy the same quantum state

Juan Rojo

Radioactive decays and neutrinos

Radioactive decays

Atomic nuclei are sometimes **unstable:** they undergo radioactive decays, transforming into a **different chemical element** and emitting **energetic particles**



 α -decay (strong interactions)

$$^{A}_{Z}X \rightarrow^{A-4}_{Z-2}Y +^{4}_{2}$$
He

Radioactive decays

Atomic nuclei are sometimes **unstable:** they undergo radioactive decays, transforming into a **different chemical element** and emitting **energetic particles**



Radioactive decays

Atomic nuclei are sometimes **unstable:** they undergo radioactive decays, transforming into a **different chemical element** and emitting **energetic particles**



exercise

Alpha decays

Let us evaluate the energy of the alpha particle in this type of radioactive decay

$$^{A}_{Z}X \rightarrow^{A-4}_{Z-2}Y +^{4}_{2}\text{He}$$

start by writing the four-momenta in the rest frame of the decaying nucleus X

$$p_X^{\mu} = \left(m_X c, \overrightarrow{0} \right)$$



Alpha decays



Let us evaluate the energy of the alpha particle in this type of radioactive decay

$$^{A}_{Z}X \rightarrow^{A-4}_{Z-2}Y +^{4}_{2}\text{He}$$

start by writing the four-momenta in the rest frame of the decaying nucleus X

$$p_X^{\mu} = \left(m_X c, \overrightarrow{0} \right)$$
$$p_Y^{\mu} = \left(E_Y / c, \overrightarrow{p}_Y \right)$$
$$p_{\alpha}^{\mu} = \left(E_{\alpha} / c, \overrightarrow{p}_{\alpha} \right)$$

First of all we impose **conservation of four-momentum**

$$\vec{p}_{Y} = -\vec{p}_{\alpha} \equiv \vec{p}$$
$$m_{X}c = \frac{E_{Y}}{c} + \frac{E_{\alpha}}{c}$$

We have one equation and three unknowns - what extra info can we use?



Alpha decays

Use the mass-shell condition that relates the energy, mass, and linear momentum

$$E_Y/c = \sqrt{m_Y^2 c^2 + \vec{p}^2} \qquad E_\alpha/c = \sqrt{m_\alpha^2 c^2 + \vec{p}^2}$$

Now we have three equations and three unknowns - we can solve the system!

$$E_{\alpha} = \frac{m_X^2 + m_{\alpha}^2 - m_Y^2}{2m_X}c^2$$

For a given element X, the value of E_a is always fixed

Recall that here *E* is always the relativistic energy, which includes contributions both of the **rest mass** and of the **kinetic energy**

Experimentally **beta decays** were observed to take the following form:

$$^{A}_{Z}X \rightarrow^{A}_{Z+1}Y + e^{-}$$

Applying the same reasoning as for alpha decays, we would for the electron energy

$$E_e = \frac{m_X^2 + m_e^2 - m_Y^2}{2m_X} c^2 \simeq \frac{m_X^2 - m_Y^2}{2m_X} c^2$$

So exactly the same electron energy in all beta decays!

Experimentally **beta decays** were observed to take the following form:

 $^{A}_{Z}X \rightarrow^{A}_{Z+1}Y + e^{-}$

However it was found that the electron energy had a range of possible values



Experimentally **beta decays** were observed to take the following form:

$$^{A}_{Z}X \rightarrow^{A}_{Z+1}Y + e^{-} + \bar{\nu}_{e}$$

The neutrino was introduced to be able to describe the electron energy spectrum



Experimentally **beta decays** were observed to take the following form:

$$^{A}_{Z}X \rightarrow^{A}_{Z+1}Y + e^{-} + \bar{\nu}_{e}$$

The **neutrino** was introduced to be able to describe the electron energy spectrum

It took decades to be measured, but we knew its **properties** from the very beginning:

Electric charge conservation implies that the neutrino should be electrically neutral

$$Q_{\nu} = Q_{ZX} - Q_{Z+1Y} - Q_{e^{-}} = Z - (Z+1) - (-1) = 0$$

Experimentally **beta decays** were observed to take the following form:

 $^{A}_{Z}X \rightarrow^{A}_{Z+1}Y + e^{-} + \bar{\nu}_{e}$

The **neutrino** was introduced to be able to describe the electron energy spectrum

It took decades to be measured, but we knew its **properties** from the very beginning:

Sectoric charge conservation implies that the **neutrino should be electrically neutral**

It does not experience the strong interaction

Experimentally **beta decays** were observed to take the following form:

 $^{A}_{Z}X \rightarrow^{A}_{Z+1}Y + e^{-} + \bar{\nu}_{e}$

The **neutrino** was introduced to be able to describe the electron energy spectrum

It took decades to be measured, but we knew its **properties** from the very beginning:

Sectoric charge conservation implies that the **neutrino should be electrically neutral**

It does not experience the strong interaction

Mormal matter is highly transparent to neutrinos: very weak interactions, small crosssection with matter particles

Else it should have been observed as a decay product of beta decays

Experimentally **beta decays** were observed to take the following form:

 $^{A}_{Z}X \rightarrow^{A}_{Z+1}Y + e^{-} + \bar{\nu}_{e}$

The **neutrino** was introduced to be able to describe the electron energy spectrum

It took decades to be measured, but we knew its **properties** from the very beginning:

Electric charge conservation implies that the neutrino should be electrically neutral

It does not experience the strong interaction

Mormal matter is highly transparent to neutrinos: very weak interactions, small crosssection with matter particles

It should either be **massless** or **have a tiny mass**, much smaller than any other particle

$$m_{\nu}/m_e \le 5 \times 10^{-5}$$

from the shape of the electron energy spectrum

Charged leptons & the leptonic quantum number

We denote as leptons all particles that do not experience the strong force



We denote as leptons all particles that **do not experience the strong force**



We denote as leptons all particles that do not experience the strong force



We denote as leptons all particles that **do not experience the strong force**



In the world of elementary particles, convenient to measure mass in MeV/c² or GeV/c²

$$1 \,\mathrm{MeV/c^2} = 1.79 \times 10^{-30} \,\mathrm{kg}$$

Leptons have associated a new quantum number, called the **leptonic number** *L*, which in the Standard Model is **conserved** by all reactions involving leptons

$$\left(e^{-},\mu^{-},\tau^{-},\nu_{e},\nu_{\mu},\nu_{\tau}\right) \rightarrow L=+1$$

As with other quantum numbers, antiparticles have the opposite value of L

$$\left(e^+,\mu^+,\tau^+,\bar{\nu}_e,\bar{\nu}_\mu,\bar{\nu}_\tau\right) \rightarrow L=-1$$

What are the most important **properties** of the leptonic number?

Leptons have associated a new quantum number, called the **leptonic number** *L*, which in the Standard Model is **conserved** by all reactions involving leptons

$$\left(e^{-},\mu^{-},\tau^{-},\nu_{e},\nu_{\mu},\nu_{\tau}\right) \rightarrow L = +1$$

As with other quantum numbers, antiparticles have the opposite value of L

$$\left(e^+,\mu^+,\tau^+,\bar{\nu}_e,\bar{\nu}_\mu,\bar{\nu}_\tau\right) \rightarrow L = -1$$

The leptonic number is **additive** in a system of particles

$$e^- + \mu^- \rightarrow L = ?$$

Leptons have associated a new quantum number, called the **leptonic number** *L*, which in the Standard Model is **conserved** by all reactions involving leptons

$$\left(e^{-},\mu^{-},\tau^{-},\nu_{e},\nu_{\mu},\nu_{\tau}\right) \rightarrow L=+1$$

As with other quantum numbers, antiparticles have the opposite value of L

$$\left(e^+,\mu^+,\tau^+,\bar{\nu}_e,\bar{\nu}_\mu,\bar{\nu}_\tau\right) \rightarrow L = -1$$

The leptonic number is **additive** in a system of particles

$$e^{-} + \mu^{-} \rightarrow L = +1 + 1 = +2$$

Leptons have associated a new quantum number, called the **leptonic number** *L*, which in the Standard Model is **conserved** by all reactions involving leptons

$$\left(e^{-},\mu^{-},\tau^{-},\nu_{e},\nu_{\mu},\nu_{\tau}\right) \rightarrow L = +1$$

As with other quantum numbers, antiparticles have the opposite value of L

$$\left(e^+,\mu^+,\tau^+,\bar{\nu}_e,\bar{\nu}_\mu,\bar{\nu}_\tau\right) \rightarrow L=-1$$

The leptonic number is **additive** in a system of particles

$$e^{-} + \mu^{-} \rightarrow L = +1 + 1 = +2$$

 $e^- + e^+ + \tau^- + \tau^+ \rightarrow L = ?$

Leptons have associated a new quantum number, called the **leptonic number** *L*, which in the Standard Model is **conserved** by all reactions involving leptons

$$\left(e^{-},\mu^{-},\tau^{-},\nu_{e},\nu_{\mu},\nu_{\tau}\right) \rightarrow L = +1$$

As with other quantum numbers, antiparticles have the opposite value of L

$$\left(e^+,\mu^+,\tau^+,\bar{\nu}_e,\bar{\nu}_\mu,\bar{\nu}_\tau\right) \rightarrow L = -1$$

The leptonic number is **additive** in a system of particles

$$e^{-} + \mu^{-} \rightarrow L = +1 + 1 = +2$$

 $e^{-} + e^{+} + \tau^{-} + \tau^{+} \rightarrow L = +1 + (-1) + 1 + (-1) = 0$

Leptons have associated a new quantum number, called the **leptonic number** *L*, which in the Standard Model is **conserved** by all reactions involving leptons

$$\left(e^{-},\mu^{-},\tau^{-},\nu_{e},\nu_{\mu},\nu_{\tau}\right) \rightarrow L=+1$$

As with other quantum numbers, antiparticles have the opposite value of L

$$\left(e^+,\mu^+,\tau^+,\bar{\nu}_e,\bar{\nu}_\mu,\bar{\nu}_\tau\right) \rightarrow L=-1$$

The leptonic number is **conserved** in reactions involving leptons

$$e^- + e^+ \rightarrow e^- + e^+$$
 allowed?

Leptons have associated a new quantum number, called the **leptonic number** *L*, which in the Standard Model is **conserved** by all reactions involving leptons

$$\left(e^{-},\mu^{-},\tau^{-},\nu_{e},\nu_{\mu},\nu_{\tau}\right) \rightarrow L = +1$$

As with other quantum numbers, antiparticles have the opposite value of L

$$\left(e^+,\mu^+,\tau^+,\bar{\nu}_e,\bar{\nu}_\mu,\bar{\nu}_\tau\right) \rightarrow L = -1$$

The leptonic number is **conserved** in reactions involving leptons

$$e^{-} + e^{+} \to e^{-} + e^{+}$$
 yes : $L_{in} = L_{fin} = 0$

Leptons have associated a new quantum number, called the **leptonic number** *L*, which in the Standard Model is **conserved** by all reactions involving leptons

$$\left(e^{-},\mu^{-},\tau^{-},\nu_{e},\nu_{\mu},\nu_{\tau}\right) \rightarrow L = +1$$

As with other quantum numbers, antiparticles have the opposite value of L

$$\left(e^+,\mu^+,\tau^+,\bar{\nu}_e,\bar{\nu}_\mu,\bar{\nu}_\tau\right) \rightarrow L=-1$$

The leptonic number is **conserved** in reactions involving leptons

$$e^- + e^+ \rightarrow e^- + e^+$$
 yes: $L_{in} = L_{fin} = 0$
 $\mu^- + \mu^+ \rightarrow \mu^- + \mu^- + \mu^+$ allowed?

Leptons have associated a new quantum number, called the **leptonic number** *L*, which in the Standard Model is **conserved** by all reactions involving leptons

$$\left(e^{-},\mu^{-},\tau^{-},\nu_{e},\nu_{\mu},\nu_{\tau}\right) \rightarrow L = +1$$

As with other quantum numbers, antiparticles have the opposite value of L

$$\left(e^+,\mu^+,\tau^+,\bar{\nu}_e,\bar{\nu}_\mu,\bar{\nu}_\tau\right) \rightarrow L=-1$$

The leptonic number is **conserved** in reactions involving leptons

$$e^- + e^+ \to e^- + e^+$$
 yes: $L_{in} = L_{fin} = 0$
 $\mu^- + \mu^+ \to \mu^- + \mu^- + \mu^+$ no: $L_{in} = 0 \neq L_{fin} = + 1$

Only scattering reactions that satisfy all conservation laws are allowed

Juan Rojo

Introduction to Elementary Particles, 07/01/2019

In the Standard Model, not only the **total leptonic number** *L* is conserved: also the **individual leptonic numbers** for the electron, muon, and tau are **conserved**

$$\begin{pmatrix} e^-, \nu_e \end{pmatrix} \rightarrow L_e = +1, L_\mu = 0, L_\tau = 0$$

$$\begin{pmatrix} e^+, \bar{\nu}_e \end{pmatrix} \rightarrow L_e = -1, L_\mu = 0, L_\tau = 0$$

In the Standard Model, not only the **total leptonic number** *L* is conserved: also the **individual leptonic numbers** for the electron, muon, and tau are **conserved**

$$\begin{array}{lll} \left(e^{-},\nu_{e}\right) & \rightarrow & L_{e}=+1\,, L_{\mu}=0\,, L_{\tau}=0 \\ \left(e^{+},\bar{\nu}_{e}\right) & \rightarrow & L_{e}=-1\,, L_{\mu}=0\,, L_{\tau}=0 \\ \left(\mu^{-},\nu_{\mu}\right) & \rightarrow & L_{e}=0\,, L_{\mu}=+1\,, L_{\tau}=0 \\ \left(\mu^{+},\bar{\nu}_{\mu}\right) & \rightarrow & L_{e}=0\,, L_{\mu}=-1\,, L_{\tau}=0 \\ \left(\tau^{-},\nu_{\tau}\right) & \rightarrow & L_{e}=0\,, L_{\mu}=0\,, L_{\tau}=+1 \\ \left(\tau^{+},\bar{\nu}_{\tau}\right) & \rightarrow & L_{e}=0\,, L_{\mu}=0\,, L_{\tau}=-1 \end{array}$$

Only reactions where L_e , L_μ , L_τ are **separately conserved** are allowed

Conservation laws

Enumerate conservation laws satisfied in scattering reactions involving leptons

Energy and linear momentum conservation

$$E_{\rm in} = E_{\rm fin}$$
 & $\overrightarrow{p}_{\rm in} = \overrightarrow{p}_{\rm fin}$

In particular energy conservation applied to **particle decays** requires that the **sum of particle masses in the final state** should be smaller than initial mass

$$m_{\text{in}} \ge \sum_{i \in \text{fin}} m_j$$
 why?

Conservation laws

Enumerate conservation laws satisfied in scattering reactions involving leptons

Energy and linear momentum conservation

$$E_{\rm in} = E_{\rm fin}$$
 & $\overrightarrow{p}_{\rm in} = \overrightarrow{p}_{\rm fin}$

In particular energy conservation applied to **particle decays** requires that the **sum of particle masses in the final state** should be smaller than initial mass

$$m_{\text{in}} \ge \sum_{i \in \text{fin}} m_j$$

Electric charge conservation

$$\sum_{i \in \text{in}} Q_i = \sum_{i \in \text{fin}} Q_j$$

Conservation laws

Enumerate conservation laws satisfied in scattering reactions involving leptons

Energy and linear momentum conservation

$$E_{\rm in} = E_{\rm fin}$$
 & $\overrightarrow{p}_{\rm in} = \overrightarrow{p}_{\rm fin}$

In particular energy conservation applied to **particle decays** requires that the **sum of particle masses in the final state** should be smaller than initial mass

$$m_{\text{in}} \ge \sum_{i \in \text{fin}} m_j$$

 $\begin{array}{l} \overbrace{}{\mathbf{V}} \mbox{Electric charge conservation} \\ \sum_{i \in in} \mathcal{Q}_i = \sum_{i \in fin} \mathcal{Q}_j \\ \hline \\ \mathbf{V} \mbox{ Individual lepton number conservation} \end{array} \qquad \begin{array}{l} \sum_{i \in in} L_{e,i} = \sum_{i \in fin} L_{e,j} \\ \sum_{i \in in} L_{\mu,i} = \sum_{i \in fin} L_{\mu,j} \\ \hline \\ \sum_{i \in in} L_{\tau,i} = \sum_{i \in fin} L_{\tau,j} \end{array}$

$$e^- \rightarrow \mu^- + \nu_e + \bar{\nu}_\mu$$

$$\mu^+ \to e^+ + \nu_e + \bar{\nu}_\mu$$

$$\mu^- \to e^+ + \nu_e$$

$$\mu^- + \mu^+ \to \tau^- + \tau^+$$

$$\mu^- + \mu^+ \rightarrow e^+ + e^+ + \nu_e + \nu_e$$

Determine if the following scattering reactions involving leptons are allowed

 $e^- \rightarrow \mu^- + \nu_e + \bar{\nu}_\mu$ No: $m_e < m_\mu \ (E_{\rm in} < E_{\rm fin})$

$$\mu^+ \to e^+ + \nu_e + \bar{\nu}_\mu$$

$$\mu^- \to e^+ + \nu_e$$

$$\mu^- + \mu^+ \to \tau^- + \tau^+$$

$$\mu^- + \mu^+ \rightarrow e^+ + e^+ + \nu_e + \nu_e$$

$$e^- \rightarrow \mu^- + \nu_e + \bar{\nu}_\mu$$
 No: $m_e < m_\mu \ (E_{\rm in} < E_{\rm fin})$

$$\mu^+ \to e^+ + \nu_e + \bar{\nu}_{\mu}$$
 Yes : $Q_{\rm in} = Q_{\rm fin}, L_{\mu,\rm in} = L_{\mu,\rm fin}, \dots$

$$\mu^- \to e^+ + \nu_e$$

$$\mu^- + \mu^+ \to \tau^- + \tau^+$$

$$\mu^- + \mu^+ \rightarrow e^+ + e^+ + \nu_e + \nu_e$$

$$e^- \rightarrow \mu^- + \nu_e + \bar{\nu}_\mu$$
 No: $m_e < m_\mu \ (E_{\rm in} < E_{\rm fin})$

$$\mu^+ \to e^+ + \nu_e + \bar{\nu}_{\mu}$$
 Yes : $Q_{in} = Q_{fin}, L_{\mu,in} = L_{\mu,fin}, ...$

$$\mu^- \to e^+ + \nu_e$$
 No : $L_{\mu,in} = +1 \neq L_{\mu,fin} = 0$

$$\mu^- + \mu^+ \to \tau^- + \tau^+$$

$$\mu^- + \mu^+ \rightarrow e^+ + e^+ + \nu_e + \nu_e$$

$$e^- \rightarrow \mu^- + \nu_e + \bar{\nu}_\mu$$
 No: $m_e < m_\mu \ (E_{\rm in} < E_{\rm fin})$

$$\mu^+ \to e^+ + \nu_e + \bar{\nu}_{\mu}$$
 Yes : $Q_{in} = Q_{fin}, L_{\mu,in} = L_{\mu,fin}, ...$

$$\mu^- \to e^+ + \nu_e$$
 No : $L_{\mu,in} = +1 \neq L_{\mu,fin} = 0$

$$\mu^- + \mu^+ \rightarrow \tau^- + \tau^+$$
 Yes : everything conserved

$$\mu^- + \mu^+ \rightarrow e^+ + e^+ + \nu_e + \nu_e$$

Determine if the following scattering reactions involving leptons are **allowed**

$$e^- \rightarrow \mu^- + \nu_e + \bar{\nu}_\mu$$
 No: $m_e < m_\mu \ (E_{\rm in} < E_{\rm fin})$

$$\mu^+ \to e^+ + \nu_e + \bar{\nu}_{\mu}$$
 Yes : $Q_{in} = Q_{fin}, L_{\mu,in} = L_{\mu,fin}, ...$

$$\mu^- \to e^+ + \nu_e$$
 No : $L_{\mu,in} = +1 \neq L_{\mu,fin} = 0$

 $\mu^- + \mu^+ \rightarrow \tau^- + \tau^+$ Yes : everything conserved

$$\mu^{-} + \mu^{+} \rightarrow e^{+} + e^{+} + \nu_{e} + \nu_{e}$$
 No: $Q_{in} = 0 \neq Q_{fin} = +2$